Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/687,040	CONNORS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735
All Participants:	Status of Applicati	on:
(1) <u>Ahmed M. Farah</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael A. Stallman (Reg. No. 29,444).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 February 2006</u>	Time: <u>5:00 PM; 11:</u>	<u>20 AM</u>
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 16, 17, 19, 20, 23-32, 59 and 60 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance	e a written summary of the substance e of the interview, since the interview
	Witness MA Trailing Silver D	other Cinneture if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On February 9, 2006, Minor informalities in the claims languages of claims 16, 17, 19, 20, 27, 59 and 60 were discussed. On February 14, 2006, claims 23-32 were discussed in view of claims 1-9 of US Patent No. 6, 666,856 for possible obviousness Double Patenting (ODP). With respect to the ODP, applicant's representative filed a Terminal Disclaimer. With respect to the minor informalities in the claims languages, were discussed. Applicant's representative has authorized the examiner to make agreed changes by Examiner's Amendment (see the attached Examiner's Amendment)..